UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,923	05/02/2007	Naohisa Tachiya	Q97359	5287
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/15/201 ON, PLLC	1	EXAM	IINER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			O SULLIVAN, PETER G	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/594,923	TACHIYA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PETER O'SULLIVAN	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the set of the control of the state of the management of the management of the management of the set of	i DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a round will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON titute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ T 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of th	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	·	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5,12,13 and 18-21 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5,12,13,18,19 and 21 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific to by the specific to be specification in the specific to by the specific to be specification in the specific to be specification in a specific to be specification in the specific to be specification.	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	е
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

Claims 2-5, 12, 13 and 18-21 are pending in this application which should be reviewed for errors. The examiner has not received the documents referred to as attached in the applicants' response.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2-5, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takayanagi, US 5945,564. Applicants' response has been given due consideration, but is considered non-persuasive. Takanagi et al. in lines 28 and 29 of column 4, disclose the use of pharmaceutically acceptable acids. One of ordinary skill in the art would also know how to prepare the free base of a compound and add various acids to produce the various salts as shown, for example, in the 103 rejection.

Claims 2-5, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshida et al, US 5,489,572. Applicants' arguments have been given due consideration, but are found non-persuasive. The comments given above are applicable here as well.

Claims 2-5, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuramochi et al, US 5,661,111. Applicants' arguments have been given due consideration, but are found non-persuasive. The comments given above are applicable here as well.

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 2-5, 1- and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al., US 5,298,482. Applicants' arguments have been given due consideration, but are found non-persuasive. The comments given above are applicable here as well.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Disuke et al., JP 5310657, taken with Takayanagi, US 5945,564, Kuramochi et al, US 5,661,111, and Tanaka et al., US 5,298,482. Applicants' arguments have been given due consideration, but are found non-persuasive. The comments given above are applicable here as well. Additionally it would have been obvious to to use the process of Disuke et al. to refine 5-levulilnic acid, to mix with appropriate acids and to expect to

Application/Control Number: 10/594,923 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

produce applicants' salts in view of the teaching of the secondary references those salts

are useful.

Claim 20 is allowable, but objected to as dependent on a rejected claim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter G.

O'Sullivan at telephone number (571)272-0642.

/Peter G O'Sullivan/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

Application/Control Number: 10/594,923

Page 5

Art Unit: 1621